Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/655,667	BRIEGS ET AL.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit
	Natalie A. Pass	3626
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Natalie A. Pass.	(3) Melvin C. Garner, Esq.	(Reg. # 26272).
(2) <u>Joseph Thomas</u> .	(4)	1 d Home
Date of Interview: 29 October 2003.		JOSEPH THOMAS
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)⊠ applicant's representativé	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600
If Yes, brief description:	e)[☑ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: Clam In Particular an Identification of prior art discussed: Colon, De Bust,	dallofrewiden	Several
Identification of prior art discussed: Colon, De Busk,	Umen in zener	al
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) √N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
Discussion revolved abor	it what was	necessary
to put the claims in could	Hor Jose	cowante d
appeal. It was noted that	clam one ma	Inded language
that was not josewely a	ented, and &	that at was
It was pointed or	it that the	reglisating
That was noted that claim one included language that was not positively recited, and that at was sometiment of a steel that the be aftered. Sugget at that this be aftered that the replicating of and the claim language of specifically detailed in the claim language of specifically detailed in the claim language of suggestion with the suggestion of the		
constitutes the amendment well be thethe		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signal	ture, if required
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-413 (Rev. 04-03) C. Kaminer. Interview	Summary	. Paper No. 11

1 1 10 11 1 N